

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/070,521	(	07/08/2002	Richard Spitz	10191/2251	7205	
26646	7590	05/02/2005		EXAMINER		
KENYON	& KENY	ON	JACKSON JR, JEROME			
ONE BROA	DWAY					
NEW YORK, NY 10004				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				2815		

DATE MAILED: 05/02/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			H-P					
	Application No.	Applicant(s)						
Advisory Action	10/070,521	SPITZ ET AL.						
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit						
	Jerome Jackson Jr.	2815						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address								
<ul> <li>THE REPLY FILED 22 April 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPI.</li> <li>The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or of this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notation (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compital following time periods:</li> <li>a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of this Advitable.</li> <li>The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advitable.</li> </ul>	n the same day as filing a Notice of owing replies: (1) an amendment, a otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in liance with 37 CFR 1.114. The rep of the final rejection.	of Appeal. To avoid at offidavit, or other evid- compliance with 37 ( ly must be filed within	ence, which CFR 41.31; or n one of the					
event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later th Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b) MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened stabove, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three month earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  NOTICE OF APPEAL	ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE Finance of the control of the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a and the corresponding amount of the fee, atutory period for reply originally set in the	RST REPLY WAS FILE  a) and the appropriate extension  final Office action; or (2)	ension fee have on fee under 37 as set forth in (b)					
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in com of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any e Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must I AMENDMENTS</li> <li>The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further comments.</li> </ol>	extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e) be filed within the time period set for but prior to the date of filing a brie	), to avoid dismissal orth in 37 CFR 41.37( off, will <u>not</u> be entered	of the appeal. (a).					
<ul> <li>(a)</li></ul>	ow); tter form for appeal by materially re corresponding number of finally re	educing or simplifying	g the issues for					
<ul> <li>4.  The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.</li> <li>5.  Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s</li> <li>6.  Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a the non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ul>	121. See attached Notice of Non-C ):							
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is protected. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:  Claim(s) allowed:  Claim(s) objected to:  Claim(s) rejected: 24,26,27 and 30-42.  Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		vill be entered and an	explanation of					
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE  8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, b because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).								
<ul> <li>9.  The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).</li> <li>10.  The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached.</li> <li>REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER</li> <li>11.  The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:</li> </ul>								
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).			ance pecduse.					

Continuation of 3. NOTE: the new limitations "the p-doped semiconductor layers... exhibit a constant doping, and... are doped at a same concentration" do not have exact antecedent basis in the original disclosure. Where in the original disclosure is this exact language recited? Likewise, in regard to applicant's query regarding the objection to the drawings and specification, the amended limitations to claim 24 reciting that both the p group layers and n group layers have different dopant concentrations, there is no exact antecedent basis in the original disclosure for these limitations. The original disclosure merely states that one of the layers (p-type) may be multiple and have different concentrations. See also figures 4 and 5. There is clearly a "question" of new matter at worst and a problem of proper antecedent basis at best. There is no exact original language that both of the p and n layers may be multiple and have different dopant concentrations.

JEROME JACKSON PRIMARY EXAMINER